

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In re C.F., a Person Coming Under the
Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

C.F.,

Defendant and Appellant.

D054819

(Super. Ct. No. J220665)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, Dwayne
K. Moring, Judge. Affirmed.

The juvenile court adjudged C.F. a ward of the court under Welfare and
Institutions Code¹ section 602 based on a true finding that he committed robbery. (Pen.
Code, § 211.) The court struck counts of grand theft from a person (Pen. Code, § 487,

¹ Statutory references are to the Welfare and Institutions Code unless otherwise
specified.

subd. (c)), and battery (Pen. Code, § 242), and granted C.F. probation. The court also ordered restitution fines in the amounts of \$119 (§ 730.5) and \$100 (§ 730.6), and restitution to the victim in the amount of \$193.94. C.F. appeals. We affirm the judgment.

FACTS

Alex A. was sitting on a curb in his neighborhood, eating doughnuts, when C.F. and two other minors approached him from behind. C.F. stated, "Give me your [skate]board." C.F. then hit Alex in the lower jaw and took his skateboard. Alex fell, stood up, and yelled at the minors to give him his skateboard back. C.F. asked for money, and Alex replied that he did not have any. C.F. then hit Alex again, and the minors fled. Alex ran after the minors, and flagged down a motorist who called the police.

Alex did not know any of the minors at the time of the incident. When high school started a couple weeks later, Alex saw C.F. and followed him to class. Alex then went to the office to report what happened, and identified C.F. in a yearbook. When he returned home, he called the detective investigating his case. The skateboard was never recovered, and C.F. denied involvement in the offense.

DISCUSSION

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief summarizing the facts and proceedings below. Counsel presents no argument for reversal, but asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, counsel lists, as possible but not

arguable issues, whether: (1) there was sufficient evidence to sustain a true finding; (2) the condition of probation that C.F. subject himself to search and seizure at any time was overbroad and unconstitutional; and (3) the court's implicit finding that C.F. was financially able to pay the restitution fines was in error.

We granted C.F. permission to file a brief on his own behalf. He has not responded. A review of the record pursuant to *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California, supra*, 386 U.S. 738, including the possible issues listed pursuant to *Anders*, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issues. C.F. has been competently represented by counsel on this appeal.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

McCONNELL, P. J.

WE CONCUR:

HUFFMAN, J.

HALLER, J.